

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,803	06/29/2001	Simo Maenpaa	TU1.P29	3378
25315	7590 09/22/2006	•	EXAMINER	
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC			CROW, STEPHEN R	
701 FIFTH A' SUITE 4800	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, V	VA 98104		3764	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/894,803	MAENPAA, SIMO	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Steve R. Crow	3764	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 2-8,10,12-16 is/are pending in the applea of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	t(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 3-8,10,12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how the receiver (such as a Polar heart rate monitor) can determine the intensity of the signal. The applicant has presented a hypothetical use of such a receiver. What structure has been added to the receiver to permit it to recognize different signal strengths? The evidence submitted by applicant (Declaration of Simo Maenpaa) has been considered and does discuss electromagnetic field intensity theory, but the examiner contends that one skilled in the art, given applicant's disclosure, would not be able to produce the invention as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,803

Art Unit: 3764

It is unclear as to how the modification circuitry 33 and microprocessor 34 are able to modify a heart rate monitor such as a Polar monitor to measure variations in the field strengths.

1. Claims 10,12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The Specification refers to field of signal processing wherein signals are amplified, filtered and converted. These are all concepts in the fields of electronic devices and circuits; however, applicant does not provide structural recitations of amplifiers, filters, and signal modifiers in the Specification.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7-3-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The filed 7-3-06 has been reviewed but fails to overcome the 112 first paragraph enablement rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,803

Art Unit: 3764

The purpose of the requirement that the specification describe the invention in such terms that one skilled in the art can make and use the claimed invention is to ensure that the invention is communicated to the interested public in a meaningful way. The information contained in the disclosure of an application must be sufficient to inform those skilled in the relevant art how to both make and use the claimed invention.

A patent claim is invalid if it is not supported by an enabling disclosure.

The examiner contends that the Specification is inadequate in properly describing how the claimed invention can be achieved given the disclosed structure.

Conclusion

This office action has not been made Final. It would be appreciated if Applicant's Attorney contact the examiner to discuss the merits of this case.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8lf3@tte;00ftfsfirestreaichat/ne examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The

Art Unit: 3764

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332